

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Fig. 2.1 The hysteresis loop and the torque curves for the Co-CoO sample cooled in the field and measured at 77K..... | 4 |
| Fig. 2.2 AF thickness dependence of the blocking temperature for AF grain sizes of 30, 35, 40, and 45 nm..... | 6 |
| Fig. 2.3 Side view of AFM/FM interface showing the spin orientation in the (a) uncompensated and (b) the compensated state..... | 10 |
| Fig. 2.4 Schematic diagram of spin configuration in the AFM domain wall model..... | 12 |
| Fig. 2.5 Schematic diagrams of possible spin configuration in the random field model..... | 14 |
| Fig. 2.6 (a) Magnetic structure of a body centered tetragonal (bct) AFM. (b) Lowest energy spin configuration near the interface plane..... | 16 |
| Fig. 2.7 Planar overview of diluted Ising antiferromagnet showing the role of defects in domain formation and the creation of uncompensated spins..... | 20 |
| Fig. 2.8 Side view of AFM/FM bilayer illustrating the role of defects in domain formation in the volume of the AFM and the subsequent exchange coupling at the AFM/FM interface..... | 20 |
| Fig. 2.9 The room temperature powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the γ -phase disordered $\text{Ir}_x\text{Mn}_{100-x}$ alloys..... | 25 |
| Fig. 2.10 Phase diagram of the γ -phase disordered $\text{Ir}_x\text{Mn}_{100-x}$ alloy system..... | 25 |
| Fig. 2.11 The concentration dependence of the lattice constant of the γ -MnIr disordered alloy..... | 26 |
| Fig. 2.12 Spin structure of γ -phase IrMn alloys. (a) 1Q, (b) 2Q, and (c) 3Q structure in the disordered fcc lattice. (d) $L1_2$ ordered phase of Mn_3Ir alloys..... | 29 |
| Fig. 2.13 Concentration dependence of the electronic total energy of the γ -phase disordered (DO) $\text{Ir}_x\text{Mn}_{100-x}$ alloys for the 2Q and the 3Q structures. The total energy is given relative to that of the 1Q structure..... | 30 |
| Fig. 2.14 The spin configuration of the AFM/FM bilayer. (a) The spin configuration near the interface, (b) the ground state of the spin structure in the magnetic unit cell..... | 31 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|----|
| Fig. 3.1 | Sputtering system..... | 34 |
| Fig. 3.2 | XRD spectrometer..... | 35 |
| Fig. 3.3 | schematic illustration of ϕ scan..... | 36 |
| Fig. 3.4 | schematic illustration of AFM..... | 38 |
| Fig. 3.5 | (a) Schematic illustration of VSM. (b) Schematic illustration of vector coil..... | 40 |
| Fig. 3.6 | Curvature measurement..... | 41 |
| Fig. 4.1 | (a) The possible epitaxial relationship between IrMn and CoFe (b) The epitaxial relationship between Cu and Si (001)..... | 43 |
| Fig. 4.2 | The X-ray θ -2 θ results of Si(001)/ Cu(Xnm)/ Ir ₂₀ Mn ₈₀ (10nm)/ CoFe(4nm)/ Ta(4nm)..... | 47 |
| Fig. 4.3 | The samples with Si(001)/ Cu(80nm)/ IrMn(20nm)/ CoFe(Xnm)/ Ta(4nm) the stronger peak of CoFe ($2\theta=65.04^\circ$) was obtained with increasing the CoFe thickness..... | 47 |
| Fig. 4.4 | X-ray ϕ scans of (a) CoFe {111}, (b) IrMn {111}, (c) Cu {111}, and (d) Si {111}..... | 48 |
| Fig. 4.5 | The X-ray results of structure change after annealing, no Cu (002) diffraction peak was observed because Cu-Si silicide (Cu ₃ Si) was formed during the high annealing temperature..... | 50 |
| Fig. 4.6 | The hysteresis loops of IrMn(20nm)/CoFe(15nm) deposited without a field..... | 52 |
| Fig. 4.7 | Hysteresis loops of different IrMn thickness, the square exchange bias loops of left hand side were measured along the easy axes of CoFe; i.e. [110]. On the other hand, the double shifted loops of right hand side were observed by measured along CoFe [1-10] | 53 |
| Fig. 4.8 | (a) Variation of coercivity (H_c), exchange field(H_e) and half of separation of the double-shifted loop (H_s) with different thickness of IrMn (b)IrMn thickness dependence of unidirectional (K_e), uniaxial (K_u) and biaxial (K_b) anisotropy constants..... | 55 |
| Fig. 4.9 | Hysteresis loops of Si(100)/Cu(50nm)/Ir ₂₀ Mn ₈₀ (5nm)/CoFe(15nm)/ Ta(4nm) deposited in a field, measured along CoFe (a) [110] and (b) [1-10]..... | 58 |
| Fig. 4.10 | The energy curves calculated by using coherent rotational model. The sample structure is IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm)..... | 58 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Fig. 4.11 The hysteresis loops of (a) $\theta=0^\circ$ the hysteresis loops of (a) $\theta=0^\circ$ and (b) $\theta=90^\circ$, compared with the simulation curves, the symmetric magnetization reversal in this two angles occurs by purely domain wall motion and rotation, respectively..... | 61 |
| Fig. 4.12 The difference of M_X and M_Y loops between simulated and experimental data measured at $\theta=20^\circ$ and 30° | 63 |
| Fig. 4.13 The difference of M_X and M_Y loops between simulated and experimental data measured at $\theta=60^\circ$ and 70° | 64 |
| Fig. 4.14 The magnetic properties of $\theta=0^\circ$ and 90° in IrMn(5,10,40nm)/ CoFe(15nm) systems. The drastically changes occur in the systems with small thickness of IrMn imply that the change rate depends on the thickness of antiferromagnetic layers..... | 66 |
| Fig. 4.15 The variation of exchange bias field field (H_E) with increasing the time scale..... | 67 |
| Fig. 4.16 (a) The hysteresis loops, which were deposited with and without external bias field of IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm), were measured at $\theta=0^\circ$ | 68 |
| Fig. 4.16 (b) The hysteresis loops, which were deposited with and without external bias field of IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm), were measured at $\theta=30^\circ$ | 69 |
| Fig. 4.16 (c) The hysteresis loops, which were deposited with and without external bias field of IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm), were measured at $\theta=45^\circ$ | 69 |
| Fig. 4.16 (d) The hysteresis loops, which were deposited with and without external bias field of IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm), were measured at $\theta=60^\circ$ | 70 |
| Fig. 4.16 (e) The hysteresis loops, which were deposited with and without external bias field of IrMn(5nm)/ CoFe(15nm), were measured at $\theta=90^\circ$ | 70 |
| Fig. 4.17 (a) Stress measurements results of IrMn(5,10,25,40nm)/CoFe(15nm). (b) X-ray θ -2 θ results of the IrMn(25nm)/CoFe(15nm)..... | 71 |
| Fig. 4.18 Schematic illustration the relationship of spin orientation between IrMn and CoFe..... | 72 |
| Fig. 4.19 The X-ray θ -2 θ results of Si(001)/ Cu(100nm)/ Ir ₂₀ Mn ₈₀ (50nm)/ CoFe(30nm)/ MgO(30nm)/Ta(6nm)..... | 74 |